arXiv:1103.0833v3 [math.AG] 23 May 2011

MODULI SPACES OF QUASI-MAPS TO PROJECTIVE SPACE WITH PERFECT OBSTRUCTION THEORIES

YOUNG-HOON KIEM

Abstract. A quasi-map to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ refers to a line bundle on a quasi-stable pointed curve together with n ordered sections. It was proved in [2, 3] that quasi-maps of degree $d > 0$ to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ over m-pointed curves of genus g form an algebraic stack $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ and that any open Deligne-Mumford substack has a perfect obstruction theory. Therefore a proper separated Deligne-Mumford open substack admits a virtual fundamental class on which curve counting invariants are defined as intersection numbers. Examples include the moduli stack of stable maps and the moduli stack of stable quotients. In this paper, we introduce the notion of δ-stable quasi-maps and show that the open substack $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,m,d,n}$ of δ-stable quasi-maps is a proper separated Deligne-Mumford stack for each value of the stability parameter $\delta > 0$ except for a finite set of walls if $d+\delta \geq g-1$. We also consider the GSW model $\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5}$ for δ-stable quasi-maps to $\mathbb{P}^4$ with p-fields and obtain invariants $\deg[\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5}]^{\mathrm{vir}}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ for $d+\delta \geq 3(g-1)$. When δ is close to 0 and $m = 0$, $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,m,d,n}$ admits a forgetful morphism to Caporaso's moduli space of balanced line bundles. The wall crossings are shown to be contraction morphisms from larger δ to smaller.

1. Introduction

A smooth connected curve C in a projective variety X can be thought of as a stable map $f : C \to X$, or an ideal sheaf I_C or a stable sheaf $\mathcal{O}_C$. Different perspectives give us different compactifications and different curve counting invariants. In case the target $X = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a projective space, a smooth curve C in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ can be also thought of as a quasi-map, which is defined as a line bundle E on C together with n sections $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n} \to E$. When α is surjective, we get an induced morphism $f : C \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ which is stable if furthermore $\omega_C \otimes E^3$ is ample. Thus it is natural to think of the stack $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ of all quasi-maps over quasi-stable curves (connected curves with at worst nodal singularities whose marked points are all distinct and smooth) whose sections over a scheme S are triples $(\mathcal{C} \to S, \mathcal{E}, \alpha)$ where $\mathcal{C} \to S$ is a family of quasi-stable m-pointed curves of genus g, $\mathcal{E}$ is a line bundle on $\mathcal{C}$ of relative degree $d > 0$ and $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\oplus n} \to \mathcal{E}$ is a homomorphism not vanishing on each fiber. For instance, the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{g,m}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$ of stable maps ([5]) is an open substack of $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ because the surjectivity of α and ampleness are both open conditions. Similarly, the moduli stack $\overline{Q}_{g,m}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$ of stable quotients ([10, 11]) is another open substack of $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ which is a proper separated Deligne-Mumford stack.

Recently, Huai-Liang Chang and Jun Li in [2], independently Ionut Ciocan-Fontanine and Bumsig Kim in [3], proved that $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ is an algebraic stack and

---

Partially supported by NRF grant 2010-0007786.

any open substack of $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ which is a separated proper Deligne-Mumford stack is equipped with a perfect obstruction theory. In fact, there is a forgetful morphism

$$\eta : \mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d}$$

to the stack $\mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d}$ of pairs (C, E) where C is an m-pointed quasi-stable curve of genus g and E is a line bundle of degree d on C. Since $\mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d}$ is a smooth stack, it suffices to find a relative perfect obstruction theory of η. It was proved in [2, 3] that there is a relative perfect obstruction theory

$$\mathbb{L}^\vee_{\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}/\mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^\bullet = R\pi_*\mathcal{E}^{\oplus n}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}}$$

of η where $\pi : \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}} \to \mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ is the universal family of curves on $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}}$ is the universal line bundle on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}}$.

Furthermore, Chang and Li defined the notion of quasi-maps with p-fields when $n = 5$ and $m = 0$. They constructed the algebraic stack

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}$$

which represents the functor of quadruples (C, E, α, p) where $(C, E, \alpha) \in \mathfrak{Q}_{g,0,d,5}$ and $p \in H^0(C, E^{-5} \otimes \omega_C)$. It was proved that any Deligne-Mumford open substacks of $\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}$ has a perfect obstruction theory whose obstruction sheaf admits a cosection σ and the degeneracy locus of σ is related to quasi-maps on a quintic 3-fold in $\mathbb{P}^4$. By the cosection localization of [8], when the degeneracy locus is proper, we obtain a curve counting invariant of a quintic 3-fold.

The purpose of this paper is to construct a sequence of open substacks $\mathfrak{Q}^\delta_{g,m,d,n}$ of $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ which are proper separated Deligne-Mumford stacks for general $\delta > 0$ as follows. Let $2g - 2 + m > 0$. A quasi-map (C, E, α) is called *δ-stable* if the following hold:

(1) $\omega_C(\sum p_i) \otimes E^\epsilon$ is ample for any $\epsilon > 0$ where $p_1, \cdots, p_m$ are the marked points of C;
(2) if we denote the stabilization of $(C, p_1, \cdots, p_m)$ by $\pi : C \to \bar{C}$ and let $\bar{E} = \pi_*E$, $\bar{E}$ is pure and the direct image $\bar{\alpha} : \mathcal{O}_{\bar{C}}^{\oplus n} \to \bar{E}$ of α is a δ-stable pair in the sense that for any nonzero subsheaf $\bar{E}'$ of $\bar{E}$,

$$\frac{P_{\bar{E}'}(m) + \varepsilon(\bar{E}', \bar{\alpha})\delta}{r(\bar{E}')} < \frac{P_{\bar{E}}(m) + \delta}{r(\bar{E})}$$

where $P_{\bar{E}'}$, $P_{\bar{E}}$ are Hilbert polynomials with respect to $\omega_{\bar{C}}(\sum \bar{p}_i)$ and $r(\bar{E}')$, $r(\bar{E})$ are the leading coefficients. Here $\varepsilon(\bar{E}', \bar{\alpha})$ is 1 if $\bar{\alpha}$ factors through $\bar{E}'$ and 0 if not.

We get semistability if $<$ is replaced by $\leq$ above.

We prove in §5 that when $d + \delta \geq g - 1$, the collection of all δ-stable quasi-maps over m-pointed quasi-stable curves of genus g forms a proper separated Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{Q}^\delta_{g,m,d,n}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ for any $\delta > 0$ except for finitely many values, called *walls* (Theorem 5.8). By [2, 3], $\mathfrak{Q}^\delta_{g,m,d,n}$ are all equipped with perfect obstruction theories and hence virtual fundamental cycles of dimension $(4-n)(g-1)+nd+m$. Moreover, we show that for $d + \delta \geq 3(g-1)$, the degeneracy locus of the cosection σ on

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}^\delta_{g,0,d,5} = \mathfrak{Q}^\delta_{g,0,d,5} \times_{\mathfrak{Q}_{g,0,d,5}} \widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}$$

is proper so that we obtain a sequence of curve counting invariants

$$\deg[\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}^\delta_{g,0,d,5}]^{\mathrm{vir}}_{\mathrm{loc}}$$

of a quintic 3-fold.

When δ is close to 0 and $m = 0$, $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,m,d,n}$ admits a forgetful morphism to Caporaso's moduli space $\bar{P}_{g,d}$ of balanced line bundles ([1]). If δ_0 is a wall and $\delta_+ > \delta_0 > \delta_-$ are close by, we show that there is a morphism

$$\psi_{\delta_+,\delta_-} : \mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_+}_{g,m,d,n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_-}_{g,m,d,n}$$

which contracts a divisor.

All schemes are defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Throughout this paper, d, n are positive integers; g, m are nonnegative integers such that $2g - 2 + m > 0$.

Acknowledgement: I am grateful to Huai-Liang Chang and Jun Li for enlightening discussions about [2].

2. DIRECT IMAGE CONE AND THE STACK OF QUASI-MAPS

In this section we define the stack $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ of quasi-maps of degree $d > 0$ to a projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ for $g, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and recall the result of Ciocan-Fontanine and Kim ([3]), independently Chang and Li ([2]) that it is an algebraic stack and that any open substack of $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ which is a Deligne-Mumford stack is equipped with a perfect obstruction theory.

Definition 2.1. *An m-pointed* quasi-stable *curve is a connected curve C which has at worst nodal singularities, together with m distinct smooth points $p_1, \cdots, p_m$ in C.*

Definition 2.2. *A* quasi-map *to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ on an m-pointed quasi-stable curve $(C, p_1, \cdots, p_m)$ is an invertible sheaf E on C together with a nonzero homomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n} \to E$. The sum of degrees $\deg E|_{C_i}$ where $C = \cup C_i$ is the irreducible decomposition is called the* degree *of the quasi-map (E, α).*

When it is obvious, we will write C instead of $(C, p_1, \cdots, p_m)$ for an m-pointed quasi-stable curve.

A stable map to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a quasi-map.

Lemma 2.3. *Let (E, α) be a quasi-map to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ on C. Suppose α is surjective. Then the morphism $\phi_\alpha : C \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ induced by α is a stable map if and only if $\omega_C(\sum p_i) \otimes E^3$ is ample.*

The proof of this lemma is an elementary exercise.

Let us define the stack of all quasi-maps to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ of degree d over m-pointed quasi-stable curves of genus g. First of all, we consider the stack $\mathfrak{M}_{g,m}$ of m-pointed quasi-stable curves of genus g whose sections over a scheme S are flat proper morphisms $\mathcal{C} \to S$ of finite type with m disjoint smooth sections $p_1, \cdots, p_m$ whose geometric fibers are quasi-stable curves of genus g. It is well known that $\mathfrak{M}_{g,m}$ is a smooth algebraic stack.

Next the stack $\mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d}$ of line bundles of degree d over quasi-stable curves is defined as a stack over the category of schemes over $\mathbb{C}$ whose sections over a scheme S are pairs of $(\mathcal{C} \to S) \in \mathfrak{M}_{g,m}(S)$ and $\mathcal{E} \in Pic(\mathcal{C})$ of relative degree d with obvious pull-backs as arrows. It is also well known that $\mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d}$ is a smooth algebraic stack and there is a forgetful morphism

$$\mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,m}.$$

The stack $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ of quasi-maps is now defined as the stack whose sections over a scheme S are triples $(\mathcal{C}_S \to S, \mathcal{E}_S, \alpha_S)$ where $(\mathcal{C}_S \to S, \mathcal{E}_S) \in \mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d}(S)$ and $\alpha_S : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_S}^{\oplus n} \to \mathcal{E}_S$ is a homomorphism which is not trivial on any fiber of $\mathcal{C}_S \to S$. Given $(\pi : \mathcal{C}_S \to S, \mathcal{E}_S) \in \mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d}(S)$, it was shown in [2, Proposition 2.2] that the groupoid of all multi-sections α is represented by a scheme

$$\mathrm{Spec}_S \left(\mathrm{Sym} R^1\pi_*[(\mathcal{E}_S^\vee)^{\oplus n} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}_S/S}] \right)$$

where $\mathrm{Sym}\mathcal{F}$ denotes the symmetric algebra of a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$. Furthermore, H. Chang and J. Li ([2, Proposition 2.5]), independently I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim ([3, §5]), proved that there is a natural relative perfect obstruction theory

$$\phi : \mathbb{L}^\vee_{\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}/\mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d}} \to R\pi_*\mathcal{E}^{\oplus n}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}} \tag{2.1}$$

for $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n} \to \mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d}$ where $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}}$ denotes the universal line bundle over the universal curve $\pi : \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}} \to \mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$. Since $\mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d}$ is a smooth algebraic stack, this gives us a perfect obstruction theory for $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$. Therefore, we have the following.

Theorem 2.4. [3, 2] *$\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ is an algebraic stack. Any open Deligne-Mumford substack of $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ admits a perfect obstruction theory induced from* (2.1).

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be an open substack of $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ such that*

1. *$\mathfrak{U}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack;*
2. *$\mathfrak{U}$ is separated;*
3. *$\mathfrak{U}$ is proper.*

Then $\mathfrak{U}$ is equipped with a perfect obstruction theory and hence the virtual fundamental class $[\mathfrak{U}]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ of dimension

$$(3g-3+m)+g+[n(d-g+1)-1] = (4-n)(g-1)+m+nd.$$

To check (2) and (3), we will use the valuative criterion. For (1), if we can write $\mathfrak{U}$ as the quotient V/G of a scheme V by a reductive group G, it suffices to show that the stabilizer groups are all finite and reduced by [4, Corollary 2.2].

Example 2.6. (Stable maps) *By Lemma 2.3, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,m}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$ of stable maps is an open substack of $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ which is a separated proper Deligne-Mumford stack (*[2, Proposition 2.7]*). It was shown in* [3, §5.3] *and* [2, Lemma 2.8] *that the perfect obstruction theory of Behrend-Fantechi coincides with that obtained in Theorem 2.4.*

Example 2.7. (Stable quotients) *If a stable quotient $\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n} \to F$ has rank $n-1$ so that the kernel S is invertible, the dual $\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n} \to S^\vee$ of the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n}$ defines an object in $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$. These objects form an open substack $\bar{Q}_{g,m}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$ of $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ which is a proper separated Deligne-Mumford stack by Marian, Oprea and Pandharipande* [10]. *See also* [11].

In §5, we will introduce the notion of δ-stable quasi-maps and find that the substack $\mathfrak{Q}^\delta_{g,m,d,n}$ of δ-stable quasi-maps for general δ is also an open substack which is a proper separated Deligne-Mumford stack. In §6, we will study the wall crossing behavior as δ varies.

3. GSW MODEL FOR $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$

In [2], Chang and Li further enlarge the moduli stack $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,0,d,5}$ to include an additional section, called a *p-field*. The cosection localization technique of [8] then enables us to define localized invariants which are related to curve counting invariants on a quintic Calabi-Yau 3-fold X.

Definition 3.1. *A* p-field *of a quasi-map* $(C, E, \alpha) \in \mathfrak{Q}_{g,0,d,5}$ *is a section* $p \in H^0(C, E^{\otimes -5} \otimes \omega_C)$. *The stack* $\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}$ *of quasi-maps with p-fields is defined as the stack whose sections over a scheme* S *are quadruples* $(\mathcal{C}_S \to S, \mathcal{E}_S, \alpha_S, p_S)$ *where* $(\mathcal{C}_S \to S, \mathcal{E}_S, \alpha_S) \in \mathfrak{Q}_{g,0,d,5}(S)$ *and* $p_S \in H^0(\mathcal{C}_S, \mathcal{E}_S^{\otimes -5} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{C}_S/S})$. *Arrows are defined by pull-backs.*

By the direct image cone construction of [2, §2] again, we have the following.

Proposition 3.2. $\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}$ *is an algebraic stack equipped with a relative perfect obstruction theory*

$$\mathbb{L}^{\vee}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}/\mathfrak{P}_{g,0,d}} \longrightarrow R\pi_*(\mathcal{E}^{\oplus 5}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}} \oplus [\mathcal{E}^{\otimes -5}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}} \otimes \omega_\pi])$$

over $\mathfrak{P}_{g,0,d}$ *where* $\pi : \mathcal{C}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}} \to \widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}$ *is the universal curve and* $\mathcal{E}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}}$ *is the universal line bundle over* $\mathcal{C}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}}$.

The natural map

$$\mathcal{E}^{\oplus 5}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}} \oplus (\mathcal{E}^{\otimes -5}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}} \otimes \omega_\pi) \longrightarrow \omega_\pi, \quad (u_i, p) \mapsto p \sum_i u_i^5$$

has derivative

$$\sigma : Ob_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}} = R^1\pi_* \left(\mathcal{E}^{\oplus 5}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}} \oplus [\mathcal{E}^{\otimes -5}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}} \otimes \omega_\pi] \right) \longrightarrow R^1\pi_*\omega_\pi \cong \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}} \tag{3.1}$$

defined by

$$(\dot{u}_i, \dot{p}) \mapsto \dot{p} \sum u_i^5 + p \sum 5u_i^4 \dot{u}_i, \quad \text{for } (\dot{u}_i, \dot{p}) \in H^1(C, E)^{\oplus 5} \oplus H^1(C, E^{\otimes -5} \otimes \omega_C)$$

at $(u_i, p) \in H^0(C, E)^{\oplus 5} \oplus H^0(C, E^{\otimes -5} \otimes \omega_C)$.

The locus where the cosection σ in (3.1) is not surjective is called the *degeneracy locus* of σ.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose* $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}$ *is an open substack of* $\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}$ *which is a Deligne-Mumford stack. If the support of the image of* $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus 5} \to E$ *contains the support of* p *for all* $(C, E, \alpha, p) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{U}}$, *then the degeneracy locus* $D(\sigma)$ *of* σ *in* $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}$ *is the closed substack of* $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}$ *which consists precisely of* $(C, E, \alpha, p) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{U}}$ *satisfying* $p = 0$, $\sum_i \alpha_i^5 = 0$ *where*

$$\alpha_i : \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{\imath_i} \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus 5} \xrightarrow{\alpha} E$$

is the composition of α *with the inclusion* $\imath_i$ *of the* i*-th component.*

The proof is identical to that of Proposition 3.4 in [2]. When $D(\sigma)$ is proper, we can apply the localized virtual cycle construction of [8].

Corollary 3.4. *If* $D(\sigma)$ *in* $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}$ *is proper, we have the localized virtual fundamental class*

$$[\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}]^{\mathrm{vir}}_{\mathrm{loc}} \in A_0(D(\sigma))$$

whose degree defines a Gromov-Witten invariant of quasi-maps with p-fields.

For instance, if $\mathfrak{U}$ is an open substack of $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,0,d,5}$ which is a proper separated Deligne-Mumford stack and $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}} = \mathfrak{U} \times_{\mathfrak{Q}_{g,0,d,5}} \widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}$ satisfying the assumption of Lemma 3.3, then $D(\sigma)$ is a closed substack of $\mathfrak{U}$ since $p = 0$ and hence $D(\sigma)$ is proper.

In [2, §5], it was proved that when $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}} = \mathcal{M}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^4, d)^p$ is the moduli stack of stable maps with p-fields, the localized invariant

$$\deg[\mathcal{M}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^4, d)^p]^{\mathrm{vir}}_{\mathrm{loc}}$$

coincides with the ordinary GW invariant of a quintic 3-fold up to sign $(-1)^{5d-g+1}$. So given any substack $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}$ whose degeneracy locus $D(\sigma)$ is proper, we expect that

$$\deg[\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}]^{\mathrm{vir}}_{\mathrm{loc}}$$

be a curve counting invariant on a quintic 3-fold.

Remark 3.5. *We will show below that the moduli stack*

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5} := \mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5} \times_{\mathfrak{Q}_{g,0,d,5}} \widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}$$

of δ-stable quasi-maps with p-fields has proper degeneracy locus $D(\sigma)$ if $d + \delta \geq 3(g-1) > 0$. Therefore we will obtain the GW-invariant

$$\deg[\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5}]^{\mathrm{vir}}_{\mathrm{loc}}$$

of δ-stable quasi-maps to $\mathbb{P}^4$ with p-fields.

4. Moduli of stable pairs with multi-sections over a nodal curve

In this section, we introduce a notion of δ-stabilty for a pair (E, α) of a coherent sheaf E and a multi-section $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n} \to E$ over a polarized nodal curve. We construct a projective moduli scheme of δ-semistable pairs (E, α) over C via geometric invariant theory. This type of construction is standard by now thanks to [7] and we will closely follow [6].

Let C be a fixed m-pointed quasi-stable curve of genus g. Let us fix an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on C. Let

$$P(t) = rt + \chi = r(t + \mu) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$$

be a polynomial of degree 1. Let $\delta > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Definition 4.1. *Let E be a coherent sheaf on C with Hilbert polynomial P and $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n} \to E$ be a homomorphism which we call a* multi-section.

(1) *For a subsheaf E' of E, let $\varepsilon(E', \alpha) = 1$ if α factors through E' and 0 if not. We write the Hilbert polynomial of E' as*

$$P_{E'}(t) = \chi(E'(t)) = r(E')t + \chi(E') = r(E')\,(t + \mu(E'))$$

where $E'(t) = E' \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)^t$ and χ denotes the Riemann-Roch number. Let

$$P^{\delta}_{E',\alpha}(t) = P_{E'}(t) + \varepsilon(E', \alpha)\delta.$$

When $r(E') \neq 0$, we define the reduced Hilbert polynomial *of (E', α) as*

$$p^{\delta}_{E',\alpha}(t) = P^{\delta}_{E',\alpha}(t)/r(E') = \frac{P_{E'}(t) + \varepsilon(E', \alpha)\delta}{r(E')} = t + \mu(E') + \varepsilon(E', \alpha)\frac{\delta}{r(E')}.$$

(2) *For a quotient* $q : E \to E'' = E/E'$, *let* $\varepsilon(E'', \alpha) = 0$ *if* $q \circ \alpha = 0$ *and* 1 *if otherwise. We write*

$$P_{E''}(t) = \chi(E''(t)) = r(E'')t + \chi(E'') = r(E'')\left(t + \mu(E'')\right),$$

$$P^{\delta}_{E'',\alpha}(t) = P_{E''}(t) + \varepsilon(E'', \alpha)\delta.$$

When $r(E'') \neq 0$, *we define the* reduced Hilbert polynomial *of* (E'', α) *as*

$$p^{\delta}_{E'',\alpha}(t) = P^{\delta}_{E'',\alpha}(t)/r(E'') = \frac{P_{E''}(t) + \varepsilon(E'', \alpha)\delta}{r(E'')} = t + \mu(E'') + \varepsilon(E'', \alpha)\frac{\delta}{r(E'')}.$$

Definition 4.2. (1) *A one-dimensional sheaf* F *on* C *is called* pure *if any nonzero subsheaf of* F *is one-dimensional.*

(2) *We say a subsheaf* E' *of* E *is* saturated *if* $E'' = E/E'$ *is a pure sheaf.*

Definition 4.3. *A pair of a one-dimensional sheaf* E *on* C *and a nonzero homomorphism* $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n} \to E$ *with* $P_E = P$ *is* δ-semistable *if for any nontrivial subsheaf* $E' \neq E$,

$$r(E)P^{\delta}_{E',\alpha}(t) \leq r(E')P^{\delta}_{E,\alpha}(t)$$

i.e. E *is pure and*

$$\frac{\chi(E') + \varepsilon(E', \alpha)\delta}{r(E')} \leq \frac{\chi(E) + \delta}{r(E)}$$

for any nontrivial subsheaf $E' \neq E$. *We get* δ-stability *if* $\leq$ *is replaced by* $<$.

Remark 4.4. *By definition, it is clear that*

$$P^{\delta}_{E',\alpha} + P^{\delta}_{E'',\alpha} = P^{\delta}_{E,\alpha}, \qquad r(E') + r(E'') = r(E).$$

Hence $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n} \to E$ *is* δ*-semistable if and only if for any one-dimensional quotient* E'' *of* E,

$$r(E)P^{\delta}_{E'',\alpha}(t) \geq r(E'')P^{\delta}_{E,\alpha}(t).$$

Definition 4.5. *A* homomorphism $\varphi : (E, \alpha) \to (E', \alpha')$ *of pairs on* C *is a homomorphism* $\varphi : E \to E'$ *of* $\mathcal{O}_C$*-modules such that* $\varphi \circ \alpha = \lambda\alpha'$ *for some* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. *An isomorphism* $\varphi : E \to E'$ *of* $\mathcal{O}_C$*-modules which satisfies* $\varphi \circ \alpha = \lambda\alpha'$ *for some* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ *is called an* isomorphism *of pairs.*

Lemma 4.6. *Let* (E, α) *and* (E', α') *be two* δ*-stable pairs of dimension* 1 *on* C *with the same reduced Hilbert polynomial* $p^{\delta}_{E,\alpha} = p^{\delta}_{E',\alpha'}$. *Then any nonzero homomorphism* $\varphi : (E, \alpha) \to (E', \alpha')$ *is an isomorphism. In particular,* $\mathrm{Hom}((E, \alpha), (E, \alpha)) = \{\lambda \cdot \mathrm{id}_E \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$.

Proof. Let E_1 denote the image of $\varphi : E \to E'$. We have $\varphi \circ \alpha = \lambda \cdot \alpha'$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $q : E \to E_1$ be the surjective homomorpism induced from φ. Suppose $0 \neq E_1 \neq E'$. If $q \circ \alpha = 0$, we have $\varepsilon(E_1, \alpha) = 0$ and

$$p^{\delta}_{E,\alpha}(t) \leq t + \frac{\chi(E_1)}{r(E_1)} \leq t + \frac{\chi(E_1) + \varepsilon(E_1, \alpha')\delta}{r(E_1)} = p^{\delta}_{E_1,\alpha'}(t) < p^{\delta}_{E',\alpha'}(t)$$

which is a contradiction. If $q \circ \alpha \neq 0$, then $\lambda \neq 0$ since $\varphi \circ \alpha = \lambda \cdot \alpha' \neq 0$. Therefore $\varepsilon(E_1, \alpha) = \varepsilon(E_1, \alpha') = 1$ and thus

$$p^{\delta}_{E,\alpha} \leq p^{\delta}_{E_1,\alpha} = p^{\delta}_{E_1,\alpha'} < p^{\delta}_{E',\alpha'}$$

which is also a contradiction. Hence for any nonzero φ, φ is surjective. If $\ker\varphi \neq 0$,

$$\frac{\chi(E) + \delta}{r(E)} < \frac{\chi(E') + \varepsilon(E', \alpha)\delta}{r(E')} \leq \frac{\chi(E') + \delta}{r(E')}$$

and thus $p^\delta_{E,\alpha} < p^\delta_{E',\alpha'}$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, φ is an isomorphism. For the second statement, just pick any point x of C where E is locally free such that $\varphi_x : E_x \to E_x$ equals $a \cdot \mathrm{id}_{E_x}$. Then $\varphi - a \cdot \mathrm{id}$ is not an isomorphism and it has to be zero. Therefore $\varphi = a \cdot \mathrm{id}$. □

Remark 4.7. *(1) For a quotient $q : E \to E''$ of E, let $\alpha'' = q \circ \alpha$. For a subsheaf E' of E, let $\alpha' : \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n} \to E'$ be the homomorphism induced by α if α factors through E' and let $\alpha' = 0$ if not. We call $\alpha' =: \alpha_{E'}$, $\alpha'' =: \alpha_{E''}$ the induced multi-sections of E' and E'' respectively.*

(2) Let $F \subset G \subset E$ be coherent sheaves and $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n} \to E$ be a multi-section of E. Then the induced multi-section of G/F as a quotient of G is the same as the induced multi-section of G/F as a submodule of E/F because both are the compositions of α with the obvious projections.

Proposition 4.8. *Let (E, α) be a δ-semistable one-dimensional pair on C for some $\delta > 0$. There exists a filtration*

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \cdots \subset E_s = E$$

called a Jordan-Hölder filtration *such that $\mathrm{gr}_i(E) = E_i/E_{i-1}$ with the induced multi-section $\alpha_{E_i/E_{i-1}}$ is δ-stable with the same reduced Hilbert polynomial $p^\delta_{\mathrm{gr}_i(E),\alpha_i}$. Furthermore, the pair of*

$$\mathrm{gr}(E) = \bigoplus_i \mathrm{gr}_i(E) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{gr}(\alpha) = \sum_i \alpha_{E_i/E_{i-1}}$$

is independent of the choice of the Jordan-Hölder filtration, up to isomorphism.

Proof. If (E, α) is δ-stable, we are done. Suppose not. Let $E' \neq E$ be a submodule of E with $p^\delta_{E',\alpha} = p^\delta_{E,\alpha}$ and $r(E') < r(E) \in \mathbb{Z}$ maximal. Then E/E' with the induced multi-section is δ-stable. Thus by induction we obtain a Jordan-Hölder filtration. The uniqueness follows from Lemma 4.6. □

Definition 4.9. *Two δ-semistable pairs (E, α) and (E', α') with $p^\delta_{E,\alpha} = p^\delta_{E',\alpha'}$ are called* S-equivalent *if $(\mathrm{gr}(E), \mathrm{gr}(\alpha)) \cong (\mathrm{gr}(E'), \mathrm{gr}(\alpha'))$.*

Theorem 4.10. *Let $d > 0$ and C be a quasi-stable curve with an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Let $P(t) = rt + \chi \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. There is a projective scheme $\mathbf{F}_C(P)^\delta$ which is a coarse moduli space for the functor which associates to a scheme T the set of isomorphism classes of T-flat families of δ-semistable pairs (E, α) on C whose underlying sheaves have Hilbert polynomial P. Moreover, there is an open subscheme which represents the functor of families of δ-stable pairs. A closed point in $\mathbf{F}_C(P)^\delta$ represents an S-equivalence class of δ-semistable pairs.*

Since the construction is more or less standard, we only give a sketch of the proof. When $n = 1$, the theorem is just a special case of [9]. The first step of the proof is to show the boundedness of the collection of all δ-semistable pairs with fixed Hilbert polynomial. By the δ-semistability, the slope of a subsheaf

$$\mu(E') \le \mu(E') + \varepsilon(E', \delta)\frac{\delta}{r(E')} \le \frac{\chi + \delta}{r}$$

is bounded uniformly from above and the boundedness follows by [7, Theorem 3.3.7]. From the boundedness, we obtain the t-regularity of the underlying sheaves of all δ-semistable pairs for some uniform t which enables us to identify δ-semistable pairs with some orbits in the product

$$W = \mathrm{Quot}_C(\mathbb{C}^{P(t)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-t), P) \times \mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{Hom}\left(H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(t)^{\oplus n}), \mathbb{C}^{P(t)}\right)$$

of the Quot scheme and the projective space. By choosing an integer $l > 0$, the Quot scheme is embedded into a projective space

$$\mathrm{Quot}_C(\mathbb{C}^{P(t)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-t), P) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\wedge^{P(l)}\left(\mathbb{C}^{P(t)} \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(l-t))\right)\right)$$

and thus we have an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Quot}}(1)$. Then it is easy to see that the moduli space we desired is isomorphic to the GIT quotient of a closed subscheme Z of W by $PGL(P(t))$. The rest is the comparison of the GIT stability with the δ-stability above. One should be careful about the choice of a linearized line bundle $\mathcal{O}_W(n_1, n_2)$ on W but it is okay to choose (n_1, n_2) satisfying

$$\frac{n_2}{n_1} = \frac{P(l) - P(t)}{P(t) + \delta} \cdot \delta$$

for l sufficiently large (independent of δ). We leave the detail to the reader because it is almost identical to the calculation in [6].

It is also standard to relativize the construction as in [7, Theorem 4.3.7].

Theorem 4.11. *Let $f : \mathcal{C} \to S$ be a flat family of quasi-stable curves and let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(1)$ be a line bundle on $\mathcal{C}$, ample relative to S. Let P be a linear polynomial. Then there exists a scheme $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(P)^\delta$, projective over S which universally corepresents the functor*

$$\mathcal{F}^\delta : (Schemes/S)^\circ \longrightarrow (Sets)$$

which associates to an S-scheme T the set of isomorphism classes of T-flat families of δ-semistable pairs on the fibers of $\mathcal{C} \times_S T \to T$ with Hilbert polynomial P. For closed points $s \in S$, the fiber $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(P)^\delta|_s$ over s is isomorphic to the moduli space $\mathbf{F}_C(P)^\delta$ of δ-semistable pairs on the fiber $C = \mathcal{C}|_s$ over s.

5. Moduli of δ-stable quasi-maps

In this section, we introduce the notion of δ-stable quasi-maps on pointed quasi-stable curves and prove that they form an open substack $\mathfrak{Q}^\delta_{g,m,d,n}$ of $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ which is a proper separated Deligne-Mumford stack for general δ. By Theorem 2.4, $\mathfrak{Q}^\delta_{g,m,d,n}$ has a perfect obstruction theory and thus a virtual fundamental class $[\mathfrak{Q}^\delta_{g,m,d,n}]^{\mathrm{vir}}$. The GSW model in §3 enables us to define a curve counting invariant for δ-stable quasi-maps to $\mathbb{P}^4$ with p-fields. Throughout this section, we let $2g - 2 + m > 0$.

5.1. δ-stable quasi-maps and their moduli.

Recall that a quasi-map on a quasi-stable curve C is a pair (E, α) with E *invertible*.

Definition 5.1. *For $\delta > 0$, we say a quasi-map $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n} \to E$ is* δ-stable (resp. δ-semistable) *if the following hold:*

(1) *$\omega_C(\sum p_i) \otimes E^\epsilon$ is ample for any $\epsilon > 0$;*

(2) *if we denote the stabilization morphism by*

$$\pi : (C, p_1, \cdots, p_m) \to (\bar{C}, \bar{p}_1, \cdots, \bar{p}_m),$$

then the direct image $\bar{\alpha} : \mathcal{O}_{\bar{C}}^{\oplus n} \to \bar{E}$ *of* α*, where* $\bar{E} = \pi_* E$*, is* δ*-stable (resp.* δ*-semistable) with respect to the ample line bundle* $\omega_{\bar{C}}(\sum \bar{p}_i)$ *in the sense of Definition 4.3.*

The first condition implies that $\omega_C(\sum p_i)$ is nef and thus it admits a stabilization morphism $C \to \bar{C}$ to a stable curve which contracts rational components with only two special points. We call the contracted components *exceptional*.

The second condition of Definition 5.1 implies that for any exceptional component $A \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $E|_A$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. This is because if $\deg E|_A \geq 2$, $\bar{E}$ is not pure. By the same reason, no two exceptional components are adjacent.

Definition 5.2. *Two quasi-maps* $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n} \to E$ *and* $\alpha' : \mathcal{O}_{C'}^{\oplus n} \to E'$ *are called* isomorphic *if there exist an isomorphism* $\tau : C \to C'$ *of* m*-pointed quasi-stable curves and an isomorphism* $\varphi : \tau^* E' \to E$ *such that* $\varphi \circ \tau^* \alpha' = \alpha$*.*

Definition 5.3. *A family of* δ*-(semi)stable quasi-maps over* m*-pointed curves parameterized by a scheme* S *consists of*

(1) *a flat family* $\mathcal{C} \to S$ *of* m*-pointed quasi-stable curves and*
(2) *an invertible sheaf* $\mathcal{E}$ *on* $\mathcal{C}$ *and a homomorphism* $\alpha_S : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\oplus n} \to \mathcal{E}$

such that for every closed point $s \in S$*,* $\alpha_S|_s$ *is a* δ*-(semi)stable quasi-map over the fiber* $\mathcal{C}_s$*.*

Two families $\alpha_S : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\oplus n} \to \mathcal{E}$ *and* $\alpha'_S : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}'}^{\oplus n} \to \mathcal{E}'$ *over* S *are called isomorphic if there exist an isomorphism* $\tau : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$ *over* S *and an isomorphism* $\tilde{\varphi} : \tau^* \mathcal{E}' \to \mathcal{E}$ *such that* $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tau^* \alpha'_S = \alpha_S$*.*

Definition 5.4. *Let* $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,m,d,n}$ *be the substack of* $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$ *which associates to each scheme* S *the groupoid*

$$\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,m,d,n}(S)$$

of families of δ*-semistable quasi-maps parameterized by* S*.*

Remark 5.5. *Since ampleness and stability are both open conditions, the quasi-maps satisfying (1) and (2) of Definition 5.1 form an open subset of* S *for any family in* $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}(S)$*. Hence* $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,m,d,n}$ *is an open substack of* $\mathfrak{Q}_{g,m,d,n}$*.*

Definition 5.6. *We say* $\delta > 0$ *is* general *with respect to a polynomial* $P(t) = rt + \chi \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ *if there are no strictly* δ*-semistable quasi-maps* (C, E, α) *with* $P_{\bar{E}} = P$ *where* $\pi : C \to \bar{C}$ *is the stabilization morphism and* $\bar{E} = \pi_* E$*. Here strictly* δ*-semistable means* δ*-semistable but not* δ*-stable. We say* $\delta > 0$ *is a* wall *if it is not general.*

In fact, there are only finitely many walls.

Lemma 5.7. *Given any* $P(t) = rt + \chi \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$*, there are only a finite number of walls.*

Proof. Suppose (E, α) is strictly δ-semistable so that $(\bar{E}, \bar{\alpha})$ is a strictly δ-semistable pair with respect to $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{C}}(1) = \omega_{\bar{C}}(\sum \bar{p}_i)$. If a subsheaf $\bar{E}'$ of $\bar{E}$ has Hilbert polynomial $P_{\bar{E}'}(t) = r't + \chi' \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ and $r'(P(t) + \delta)$ equals $rP_{\bar{E}'}(t)$ or $r(P_{\bar{E}'}(t) + \delta)$, then $\delta = \frac{r\chi' - r'\chi}{r'}$ or $\frac{r'\chi - r\chi'}{r - r'}$. Note that $\bar{E}'$ is saturated in the sense that $\bar{E}/\bar{E}'$ is pure because otherwise the inverse image of the zero-dimensional part $T(\bar{E}/\bar{E}')$ in $\bar{E}$ has larger slope than $\bar{E}'$ and hence destabilizing.

In [10, §6.1], it was proved that the collection of all

$$(C, p_1, \cdots, p_m, E) \in \mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d}$$

such that $\omega_C(\sum p_i) \otimes E^\epsilon$ is ample for any $\epsilon > 0$ is bounded. Hence there are only a finite number of topological types (i.e. dual graphs of $(C, p_1, \cdots, p_m)$ decorated by the degrees of L restricted to irreducible components). For each type, since $0 \le r(\bar{E}') \le r(\bar{E})$ and $\bar{E}'$ is saturated with E invertible, there are only a finite number of possible pairs $(r(\bar{E}'), \chi(\bar{E}'))$. This certainly implies that there are at most a finite number of walls. □

We are now ready to state the main result of this section. Note that

$$P(t) = rt + \chi \in \mathbb{Z}[t] \quad \text{and} \quad \chi = P(0) = \chi(\bar{E}) = \chi(E) = d - g + 1$$

for δ-semistable quasi-maps.

Theorem 5.8. *Suppose $2g-2+m > 0$, $d+\delta \ge g-1$ and $\delta > 0$ is general with respect to P. Then the open substack $\mathfrak{Q}^\delta_{g,m,d,n}$ is a proper separated Deligne-Mumford stack of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{Q}^\delta_{g,m,d,n}$ has a perfect obstruction theory and a virtual fundamental class.*

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 5.8.**

In this subsection we prove Theorem 5.8. The following lemma will be useful.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $\delta > 0$ be general. Let (E, α) be a quasi-map on a pointed quasi-stable curve C satisfying item (2) of Definition 5.1 and such that $\omega_C(\sum p_i) \otimes E^\epsilon$ is nef for all $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\pi : C \to \bar{C}$ denote the stabilization morphism. Let L be any line bundle on C whose degree is nonnegative on every component and positive on each contracted component of C by π so that $\omega_C(\sum p_i) \otimes L^\epsilon$ is ample for any $\epsilon > 0$. Then (E, α) is a δ-stable pair with respect to the ample line bundle $\omega_C(\sum p_i) \otimes L^\epsilon$ for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough if and only if the direct image $(\bar{E}, \bar{\alpha})$ is a δ-stable pair with respect to $\omega_{\bar{C}}(\sum \bar{p}_i)$.*

Proof. If $\bar{E} = \pi_* E$ is pure, then any connected subcurve C' contracted by $\pi : C \to \bar{C}$ is a chain of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s and the degree of E restricted to C' is 0 or 1 because otherwise $\bar{E}$ has torsion at $\pi(C')$. If there is a nonzero section s of E supported on a contracted subcurve C' of C, then (E, α) cannot be δ-stable with respect to $\omega_C(\sum p_i) \otimes L^\epsilon$ for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough because the image of s would be destabilizing. Hence again the degree of E restricted to a connected contracted subcurve C' is at most 1.

Suppose E' is a destabilizing subsheaf of E with respect to $\omega_C(\sum p_i) \otimes L^\epsilon$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. We may assume E' is saturated. We claim that $\bar{E}' := \pi_* E'$ is a destabilizing subsheaf of $\bar{E} = \pi_* E$ with respect to $\omega_{\bar{C}}(\sum \bar{p}_i)$. Since the restriction of E to any contracted component is either $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ and the total degree of E on any contracted chain is at most 1, we have $\chi(\bar{E}) = \chi(E)$ and $\chi(E') = \chi(\bar{E}')$ by saturatedness. Since ϵ is arbitrarily small, $r(\bar{E}')$ (resp. $r(\bar{E})$) is very close to $r(E')$ (resp. $r(E)$). Note that $r(\bar{E}')$ is a positive integer. Since the inequality for the δ-stability is strict, a very small perturbation does not change the inequality. Hence we find that $\bar{E}' = \pi_* E'$ is a destabilizing subsheaf of $\bar{E}$.

Conversely, suppose $\bar{E}'$ is a destabilizing subsheaf of $\bar{E}$. Since $\pi^{-1}(q)$ is a chain of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s with $\deg E|_{\pi^{-1}(q)} = 0$ or 1 for any contracted node $q \in \bar{C}$ as mentioned above, we can find a subsheaf E' of E such that $\pi_* E' = \bar{E}'$ such that $\varepsilon(E', \alpha) = \varepsilon(\bar{E}', \bar{\alpha})$. Again since strict inequality is preserved by small perturbation and $r(\bar{E}')$, $r(\bar{E})$ are integers, we find that E' is a destabilizing subsheaf of E. □

We first prove that $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,m,d,n}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack by using [4, Corollary 2.2]: It suffices to write $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,m,d,n}$ as the quotient of a scheme by a reductive group and then show that the stabilizer groups are finite and reduced.

By [10, §6.1] and item (1) of Definition 5.1, we find that if a quasi-map (E,α) on C is δ-semistable,

$$L=\omega_C(\textstyle\sum p_i)^{\otimes 5(d+1)}\otimes E^{\otimes 5}$$

is very ample. The complete linear system of L gives us an embedding $\imath : C\hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ into a projective space and thus a point in the product Hilb $\times (\mathbb{P}^N)^m$ where Hilb denotes the Hilbert scheme of curves in $\mathbb{P}^N$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the locally closed locus in Hilb$\times(\mathbb{P}^N)^m$ of quasi-stable curves $(C,p_1,\cdots,p_m)$ with $\omega_C(\sum p_i)$ nef. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}\to\mathcal{H}$ denote the universal curve with sections p_i. Then

$$\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}/\mathcal{H}}(\textstyle\sum p_i)\otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)|^{\otimes\epsilon}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}} \tag{5.1}$$

is relatively ample over $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$ for $\epsilon>0$ arbitrarily small. By Theorem 4.11, there exists a scheme $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}/\mathcal{H}}(P)^{\delta}$ that parameterizes δ-stable pairs $\alpha:\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n}\to E$ with respect to (5.1). Let W be the locally closed subscheme of $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}/\mathcal{H}}(P)^{\delta}$ of δ-stable pairs $\alpha:\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n}\to E$ with E invertible such that

$$\omega_C(\textstyle\sum p_i)^{\otimes 5(d+1)}\otimes E^{\otimes 5}\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)|_C.$$

Then by Lemma 5.9, W parameterizes all δ-stable quasi-maps. There is a natural action of $PGL(N+1)$ on W and two points in W represent isomorphic quasi-maps if and only if they lie in the same orbit. Therefore, we find that

$$\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,m,d,n}=W/PGL(N+1).$$

Lemma 5.10. *The stabilizer group of a δ-stable quasi-map $(C,E,\alpha)\in W$ is finite and reduced. Therefore $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,m,d,n}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack.*

Proof. Let $(E,\alpha)\in W$ be a δ-stable pair with respect to (5.1). By Lemma 4.6, an automorphism of E which preserves α is the identity map since $\alpha\neq 0$. This implies that the stabilizer group of (C,E,α) is a subgroup of Aut(C) which is reduced over $\mathbb{C}$. Infinite automorphisms of the underlying curve C of a δ-stable quasi-map can arise only from exceptional components. If A is a exceptional component of C, then $E|_A\cong\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. If $\alpha|_A$ is nontrivial, an automorphism of A fixing the two special points acts nontrivially on α and hence no nontrivial stabilizer arises from A. Suppose $\alpha|_A=0$ for some exceptional component A.

For an exceptional component A, the group $\mathbb{C}^*$ of automorphisms of A fixing the two special points acts on the fiber of $E|_A\cong\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ over one special point $0\in A$ with weight 1 and the other $\infty\in A$ with weight -1. Hence it acts with weight 1 (resp. -1) on E restricted to the connected component of $\overline{C-A}$ containing 0 (resp. ∞) if A is separating in the sense that $\overline{C-A}$ is disconnected. If A is not separating, no automorphism of A lifts to a stabilizer of (C,E,α).

Let $C^{\dagger}$ denote the closure of the complement of separating exceptional components $A_1,\cdots,A_l$ with $\alpha|_{A_j}=0$. If α is zero on a connected component C' of $C^{\dagger}$, then (E,α) is unstable because $\bar{E}$ is the direct sum of $(\bar{E}',0)$ and $(\bar{E}'',\bar{\alpha})$ where $\bar{E}'$ (resp. $\bar{E}''$) is the direct image of $E|_{C'}$ (resp. $E|_{C^{\dagger}-C'}$) by the stabilization morphism $C\to\bar{C}$.

Suppose now that α is nonzero on all connected components of $C^\dagger$. We fix an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^*$ with the group of automorphisms of each exceptional component A_j with special points fixed. Consider the dual graph whose vertices are the connected components C' of $C^\dagger$ and edges are the exceptional components A_j. For a vertex C' of $C^\dagger$, let $s_j(C') = -1$ (resp. $+1$) if the automorphism group $\mathbb{C}^*$ for A_j acts with weight -1 (resp. $+1$). Suppose $\sum_{j=1}^l a_j s_j(C') = 0$ with $(a_j) \in \mathbb{Z}^l - \{0\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $a_1 \neq 0$. We choose a vertex C'' with $s_1(C'') = -s_1(C')$ and choose a path

$$C' = C_0, C_1, \cdots, C_k = C''$$

from the vertex C' to C''. (Recall that the graph is connected because C is connected.) Let A_{j_i} be the edge connecting C_{i-1} with C_i in the path. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^l a_j s_j(C_i) = \sum_{j=1}^l a_j s_j(C_{i-1}) \pm 2a_{j_i}.$$

Since $a_1 \neq 0$ and $s_1(C_k) = -s_1(C_0)$, there exists i^* such that $a_{j_{i^*}} \neq 0$ and $a_{j_i} = 0$ for $i < i^*$. Hence $\sum_{j=1}^l a_j s_j(C_{i^*}) = \pm 2a_{j_i^*} \neq 0$. This implies that there cannot be a one parameter subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^l$ which fixes (E, α). Therefore, the stabilizer group is finite and reduced. $\square$

Next we prove the separatedness. Let $0 \in \Delta$ be a pointed smooth curve. Suppose there are two families of δ-stable quasi-maps $(\mathcal{E}_i, \alpha_i)$ over $\mathcal{C}_i \to \Delta$ for $i = 1, 2$ whose restrictions to $\Delta^* = \Delta - \{0\}$ are isomorphic. As explained in [10, §6.2], possibly after base change ramified over 0, we can find a family $\mathcal{C} \to \Delta$ of pointed quasi-stable curves and dominant morphisms $\pi_i : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. It is obvious that the pullbacks $(\pi_i^*\mathcal{E}_i, \pi_i^*\alpha_i)$ of $(\mathcal{E}_i, \alpha_i)$ to $\mathcal{C}$ by π_i satisfy the assumption of Lemma 5.9. Since $\mathcal{C} \to \Delta$ is projective, we can choose a relative ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{C} \to \Delta$ so that $\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\Delta}(\sum p_i) \otimes \mathcal{L}^\epsilon$ is relatively ample for $\epsilon > 0$. Then by Lemma 5.9, both $(\pi_i^*\mathcal{E}_i, \pi_i^*\alpha_i)$ are families of δ-stable pairs on $\mathcal{C} \to S$ with respect to $\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\Delta}(\sum p_i) \otimes \mathcal{L}^\epsilon$ for ϵ small enough. By Theorem 4.11, we obtain two morphisms $\Delta \to \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{C}/\Delta}(P)^\delta$ which coincide over Δ^*. By the separatedness of $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{C}/\Delta}(P)^\delta$, we find that the two families $(\pi_i^*\mathcal{E}_i, \pi_i^*\alpha_i)$ should be isomorphic. However, by item (1) of Definition 5.1, there cannot be a component A in the central fiber of $\mathcal{C} \to \Delta$ which is contracted by π_i but not contracted by π_j with $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$, because the degree of $\pi_i^*\mathcal{E}_i|_A$ is 0 and the degree of $\pi_j^*\mathcal{E}_j|_A$ is positive by item (1) of Definition 5.1. (Note that A has only two special points.) This implies that the two families $\mathcal{C}_i \to \Delta$ are isomorphic. By the separatedness of $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{C}/\Delta}(P)^\delta$ again with $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_i$, we find that $(\mathcal{E}_i, \alpha_i)$ are isomorphic. This proves the separatedness.

Finally we prove the properness. Suppose we have a family of δ-stable quasi-maps $(\mathcal{E}^*, \alpha^*)$ over $\mathcal{C}^* \to \Delta^*$. We should extend it to Δ. After shrinking Δ if necessary, we may assume that the topological type of the fibers of $\mathcal{C}^* \to \Delta^*$ is constant. As in [10, §6], we normalize them and take the standard reduction of each connected component possibly after a base change ramified over 0. In particular, we may assume that each component is a smooth surface. Upon gluing thus obtained families over Δ along the nodes, we obtain a family of quasi-stable curves $\mathcal{C} \to \Delta$ which extends $\mathcal{C}^* \to \Delta^*$. Let C_0 denote the central fiber of $\mathcal{C} \to \Delta$. Note that by construction, $\omega_{C_0}(\sum p_i)$ is nef. Since $\mathcal{C} \to \Delta$ is projective, we can choose a relatively ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$. By Lemma 5.9, the family $(\mathcal{E}^*, \alpha^*)$ can be thought of as a family of δ-stable pairs with respect to the ample line bundle $\omega_{\mathcal{C}^*/\Delta^*}(\sum p_i) \otimes \mathcal{L}|^{\epsilon}_{\Delta^*}$ for ϵ sufficiently small. By the projectivity of $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{C}/\Delta}(P)^{\delta}$ over Δ, we can extend this family to a family $(\mathcal{E}, \alpha)$ of δ-stable pairs parameterized by Δ. Let (E_0, α_0) be the δ-stable pair over the central fiber C_0.

By construction, the normalization $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is a disjoint union of smooth surfaces. Let $\rho : \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathcal{C}$ be the normalization map. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is flat over Δ and pure on each fiber, $\mathcal{E}$ is a torsion-free sheaf on $\mathcal{C}$ so that the torsion-free part of the pullback $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{F} \otimes I_Z$$

for some invertible sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ and zero dimensional subscheme Z of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is pure on each fiber, we find by local calculation that $Z = \emptyset$ and hence $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is locally free. Let $q_\pm$ be sections of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \to \Delta$ that are glued to the section $q : \Delta \to \mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ along q is recovered from the gluing homomorphism

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_{q_+} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_{q_-} \xrightarrow{(\psi_+, \psi_-)} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_{q_+} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_{q_-} / \mathcal{E}|_q.$$

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is invertible, we may assume $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_{q_\pm - q_\pm(0)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^*} \cong \mathcal{E}|_{q-q(0)}$ after shrinking Δ if necessary. Let $(\psi^0_+, \psi^0_-) : \tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_{q_+ - q_+(0)} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_{q_- - q_-(0)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^*}$ be the restriction of (ψ_+, ψ_-) to Δ^*. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is locally free over Δ^*, $\psi^0_\pm$ are surjective and we can extend (ψ^0_+, ψ^0_-) to a homomorphism

$$(\psi'_+, \psi'_-) : \tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_{q_+} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_{q_-} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta(a0)$$

for some integer a such that ψ'_+ and ψ'_- are not simultaneously vanishing at $0 \in \Delta$. In case both $\psi'_\pm$ are not vanishing at 0, the kernel $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ of the composite

$$\rho_* \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_{q_+} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{E}}|_{q_-} \xrightarrow{(\psi'_+, \psi'_-)} \mathcal{O}_\Delta(a)$$

is locally free along q. If ψ'_+ (resp. ψ'_-) is vanishing aver $0 \in \Delta$, we blow up $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ at $q_-(0)$ (resp. $q_+(0)$) and let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}' = \pi^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(-bA)$ where $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}' \to \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is the blow-up morphism with exceptional divisor A and $b > 0$ is the vanishing order of ψ'_+ (resp. ψ'_-) at $q_+(0)$ (resp. $q_-(0)$). By definition, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'$ is locally free and we have

$$(\psi''_+, \psi''_-) : \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'|_{q'_+} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'|_{q'_-} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta((a+b)0)$$

with $\psi''_\pm$ surjective where $q'_\pm$ are the proper transforms of $q_\pm$. By gluing $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}'$ along $q'_\pm$, we obtain a family of nodal curves $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \to \Delta$ and the kernel $\hat{\mathcal{E}}'$ of the composite of (ψ''_+, ψ''_-) with the restriction $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}' \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'|_{q'_+} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'|_{q'_-}$ is an invertible sheaf on $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. Let

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}} = \hat{\mathcal{E}}' \otimes \mathcal{O}((b-1)A)$$

so that $\hat{\mathcal{E}}|_A \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. It is easy to check that the multi-section α of $\mathcal{E}$ induces a natural multi-section $\hat{\alpha}$ of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ and the direct image of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}|_0$ by $\hat{\mathcal{C}}|_0 \to \mathcal{C}|_0$ is $\mathcal{E}|_0 = E_0$.

By Lemma 5.9, item (2) of Definition 5.1 follows immediately from the above construction. So it only remains to prove item (1) of Definition 5.1.

Lemma 5.11. *Suppose $d + \delta \geq g - 1$ so that $\chi + \delta \geq 0$. Let $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n} \to E$ be a δ-stable pair over a quasi-stable curve C with respect to some ample line bundle on C. Suppose that the rank of the pure sheaf E on each component of C is 1. Then the degree of E on each component of C is nonnegative.*

Proof. Suppose E has negative degree on a component B of C. Let E'' be the torsion free part of $E|_B$. Then $\varepsilon(E'', \alpha) = 0$ because E'' admits no sections. Hence the reduced Hilbert polynomial of (E'', α) as a quotient of E is $t + \chi(E'')/r(E'')$. But since $h^0(E'') = 0$, $\chi(E'') \leq 0$. Therefore, if $\chi + \delta \geq 0$,

$$\frac{\chi+\delta}{r} < \frac{\chi(E'')}{r(E'')} \leq \frac{\chi+\delta}{r}$$

by δ-stability; a contradiction. □

By construction of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$, the nonnegativity of E_0 over components of C_0 is preserved while the degrees on the new exceptional components are all 1. Therefore the restriction $\hat{E}_0$ of $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ to the central fiber $\hat{C}_0$ has nonnegative degrees on all components of $\hat{C}_0$. If there is a component of the central fiber $\hat{C}_0$ where $\omega_{\hat{C}_0}(\sum p_i) \otimes \hat{E}_0^{\epsilon}$ is trivial, we can simply contract those components by the line bundle $\omega_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}/\Delta}(\sum p_i)\otimes\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\epsilon}$ (tensored with the pull-back of a sufficiently ample line bundle of Δ). Hence we proved item (1) of Definition 5.1. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.8.

5.3. **GSW model for δ-stable quasi-maps.** We can consider the GSW invariant for δ-stable quasi-maps as follows. Let

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5} = \mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5} \times_{\mathfrak{Q}_{g,0,d,5}} \widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5}$$

be the open substack of δ-stable quasi-maps (C, E, α) together with p-fields p. Since $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack and the forgetful morphism $\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}_{g,0,d,5} \to \mathfrak{Q}_{g,0,d,5}$ is representable by [2, Proposition 2.2], $\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5}$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack as well.

Theorem 5.12. *Suppose $d + \delta \geq 3(g-1) > 0$ and let $\delta > 0$ be general. Then the degeneracy locus $D(\sigma)$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5}$ is proper and separated so that we have a GW invariant of quasi-maps with p-fields.*

$$\deg[\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5}]^{\mathrm{vir}}_{\mathrm{loc}}$$

by Corollary 3.4.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5}$ is proper and separated, it suffices to show that the degeneracy locus $D(\sigma)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5}$, i.e. if $(C, E, \alpha, p) \in D(\sigma)$, then $p = 0$. When $g \leq 1$, $H^0(C, E^{\otimes -5} \otimes \omega_C) = 0$ for any $(C, E, \alpha, p) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5}$ and hence we always have $D(\sigma) \subset \mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5}$. So we suppose $g > 1$ from now on. By Lemma 3.3, the theorem follows if we show that the support of the image of α contains the support of p for any $(C, E, \alpha, p) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5}$.

Suppose $C' \subset C$ is an irreducible component in the closure of $\mathrm{supp}(p) - \mathrm{supp}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$. Since $p : E^{\otimes 5} \to \omega_C$ is nonzero on C',

$$0 \leq 5d' \leq \deg \omega_C|_{C'} = 2g' - 2 + k'$$

where d' is the degree of $E|_{C'}$, g' is the genus of C' and $k' = \#(C' \cap \overline{C - C'})$. If $\deg \omega_C|_{C'} = 0$, then $d' = 0$ and item (1) of Definition 5.1 fails. Hence $\deg \omega_C|_{C'} > 0$ and in particular C' is not contracted by the stabilization morphism $\pi : C \to \bar{C}$. Then by the δ-stability of $\bar{E}$, we have

$$\frac{d' - g' + 1}{2g' - 2 + k'} > \frac{d + \delta - g + 1}{2g - 2}$$

since $\alpha|_{C'} = 0$. If $g' \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{5} \geq \frac{d'}{2g'-2+k'} \geq \frac{d'-g'+1}{2g'-2+k'} > \frac{d+\delta-g+1}{2g-2}$$

and thus we find that

$$d+\delta < \frac{7}{5}(g-1).$$

When $g'=0$, $k' \geq 3$ and we have

$$\frac{d+\delta-g+1}{2g-2} < \frac{d'+1}{k'-2} \leq 1$$

since d' is an integer satisfying $0 \leq d' \leq \frac{1}{5}(k'-2)$. Hence $d+\delta < 3(g-1)$. So in all cases we have $d+\delta < 3(g-1)$ which contradicts our assumption. □

6. Wall crossing

In this section, we study the variation of the moduli space $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,m,d,n}$ as δ varies.

6.1. **Compactified Jacobian and $\mathfrak{Q}^{0^+}_{g,m,d,n}$.** When δ is sufficiently close to 0, we write $\delta = 0^+$. Let $(C,E,\alpha) \in \mathfrak{Q}^{0^+}_{g,0,d,n}$. Then by definition, $\bar{E} = \pi_* E$ should be a semistable sheaf on $\bar{C}$, where $\pi : C \to \bar{C}$ is the stabilization morphism. It is well known that the semistability condition for $\bar{E}$ is equivalent to the balanced condition for E in [1].

Proposition 6.1. *There is a forgetful morphism $\mathfrak{Q}^{0^+}_{g,0,d,n} \to \bar{P}_{g,d}$ where $\bar{P}_{g,d}$ denotes the moduli stack of balanced line bundles of degree d on quasi-stable curves of genus g in* [1].

6.2. **Wall crossing from δ_+ to δ_-.** By Lemma 5.7, there are only finitely many walls for a polynomial $P(m) = rm+\chi \in \mathbb{Q}[m]$. By definition, δ-stability can change only at walls and thus the interval $(0,\infty)$ is partitioned into a finite number of intervals in each of which $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,m,d,n}$ stays constant. Let δ_0 be a wall and $\delta_+ > \delta_0 > \delta_-$ be sufficiently close.

Proposition 6.2. *There is a birational morphism $\psi_{\delta_+,\delta_-} : \mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_+}_{g,m,d,n} \to \mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_-}_{g,m,d,n}$.*

Proof. Let $(C,E,\alpha) \in \mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_+}_{g,m,d,n} - \mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_-}_{g,m,d,n}$. Then there exists a subsheaf E' of E such that α does not factor through E' and

$$\frac{\chi'}{r'} = \frac{\chi+\delta}{r}$$

where $r'm+\chi' \in \mathbb{Q}[m]$ is the Hilbert polynomial of E' with respect to an ample line bundle on C. If E' is not saturated, we can find a subsheaf containing E' which is destabilizing. Hence E' should be saturated and is completely determined by its support C', i.e. $E' = E|_{C'}(-T)$ where $T = C' \cap C''$ with $C'' = \overline{C-C'}$. The genus of C' and the degree of E' determine a smooth divisor $\bar{D}$ of $\mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d}$ and we have a Cartier divisor

$$D := \mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_+}_{g,m,d,n} - \mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_-}_{g,m,d,n} = \mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_+}_{g,m,d,n} \times_{\mathfrak{P}_{g,m,d}} \bar{D}$$

in $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_+}_{g,m,d,n}$. Let $E'' = E|_{C''}$.

Let $\tau : \mathcal{C}_+ \to \mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_+}_{g,m,d,n}$ be the universal curve and let

$$\alpha_+ : \mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}_{\mathcal{C}_+} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_+$$

be the universal family of quasi-maps over $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_+}_{g,m,d,n}$. Then over D, the universal curve decomposes as $\mathcal{C}_+|_D = \mathcal{C}'_+ \cup \mathcal{C}''_+$ and let $\mathcal{E}''_+ := \mathcal{E}_+|_{\mathcal{C}''_+}$. We apply elementary modification to obtain a family of δ_--stable quasi-maps parameterized by $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_+}_{g,m,d,n}$ which automatically gives us the desired morphism ψ_{δ_+,δ_-}. Let

$$\mathcal{E}_- = \ker\left[\mathcal{E}_+(\tau^* D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_+(\tau^* D)|_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}''_+(\tau^* D)\right].$$

Then the composition

$$\mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}_{\mathcal{C}_+} \xrightarrow{\alpha_+} \mathcal{E}_+ \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_+(\tau^* D)$$

induces a homomorphism

$$\alpha_- : \mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}_{\mathcal{C}_+} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_-.$$

Over the point (C, E, α) in D above, $\mathcal{E}_-$ is a line bundle which is an extension of E' over C' by E'' over C'' and α_- factors through E''. Thus $\mathcal{E}_-$ is a family of δ_--stable quasi-maps. □

In summary, if $d \geq g - 1$, we have the following sequence of morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{Q}^{\infty}_{g,m,d,n} \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & \mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,m,d,n} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathfrak{Q}^{0^+}_{g,m,d,n} \arrow[dl] \\
& \overline{M}_{g,m} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

6.3. **Comparison of invariants.** It seems likely that the curve counting invariants arising from $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,m,d,n}$ are independent of δ.

Conjecture 6.3.

$$(\psi_{\delta_+,\delta_-})_*[\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_+}_{g,m,d,n}]^{\mathrm{vir}} = [\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta_-}_{g,m,d,n}]^{\mathrm{vir}}.$$

Perhaps one may be able to apply the virtual localization by torus action as in §7 of [10] to give a proof.

Problem 6.4. *Let* $d \geq 3(g-1) > 0$. *Study the variation of*

$$\deg[\widehat{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\delta}_{g,0,d,5}]^{\mathrm{vir}}_{\mathrm{loc}}$$

as δ *varies from* ∞ *to* 0^+.

Remark 6.5. *All our constructions can be carried out over Hassett's moduli space of weighted pointed stable curves* $\overline{M}_{g,w}$ *where* $w = (w_1, \cdots, w_m)$ *is a sequence of weights for the marked points. The construction of* $\mathfrak{Q}^{\delta}_{g,w,d,n}, \hat{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\delta}_{g,w,d,n}$ *and the invariants are obtained in exactly the same way.*

References

1. L. Caporaso. *A compactification of the universal Picard variety over the moduli space of stable curves.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1994), no. 3, 589–660.
2. H.-L. Chang and J. Li. *Gromov-Witten invariants of stable maps with fields.* Preprint, arXiv:1101.0914.
3. I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim. *Moduli stacks of stable toric quasimaps.* Adv. Math. **225** (2010), 3022–3051.
4. D. Edidin. *Notes on the construction of the moduli space of curves.* Recent progress in intersection theory (Bologna, 1997), 85–113, Trends Math., Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000.

5. W. Fulton and R. Pandharipande. *Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology*. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics: Algebraic Geometry Santa Cruz 1995, J. Kollár, R. Lazarsfeld, D. Morrison Eds., Volume 62, Part 2, 45–96.
6. D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn. *Framed modules and their moduli.* Internat. J. Math. 6 (1995), 297–324.
7. D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves.* Aspects of Mathematics, E31. Friedr. Vieweg Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.
8. Y.-H. Kiem and J. Li. *Localizing virtual cycles by cosections.* Preprint, arXiv:1007.3085.
9. J. Le Potier. *Systmes cohrents et structures de niveau.* Asterisque No. 214 (1993).
10. A. Marian, D. Oprea and R. Pandharipande. *The moduli space of stable quotients.* Preprint, arXiv:0904.2992.
11. Y. Toda. *Moduli spaces of stable quotients and wall crossing phenomena.* Preprint, arXiv:1005.3743.

Department of Mathematics and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Korea

E-mail address: `kiem@math.snu.ac.kr`